

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
)	
v.)	Case no. 1:14-CR-306-GBL - 8
)	Defendant GUEVARA'S
)	<u>MOTION NO. 1</u>
MANUEL E. PAIZ GUEVARA)	

**MOTION FOR DESIGNATION OF GOVERNMENT
LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THE
INVESTIGATION AND PRESENTATION OF THE PENDING
CHARGES SUMMARY**

The defendant seeks designation of the agencies from which it should seek and expect *Brady v. Maryland* and *Kyles v. Whitley* materials and those from which information must be obtained by subpoena during case preparation.

MOTION

COMES NOW, MANUEL ERNESTO PAIZ GUEVARA, hereinafter referred to as defendant and, pursuant to the Fifth, Sixth and Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution, moves for an order compelling the United States to designate and otherwise identify, to the

defense and the court, all law enforcement agencies, federal, state or local, involved in information gathering involved in the investigation of these criminal matters.

In support of the motion the defendant would allege and prove the following:

1. Investigation and practice has determined that this case, and similar capital federal cases, involve various law enforcement agencies, some local, state and federal.
2. The files of law enforcement agencies directly participating through continued investigation and the presentation of the government's case against the defendant or which have provided information since the United States became involved in the investigation of the defendant for capital murder and other federal criminal charges and the production of information contained within such files are governed by the Jenck's Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500 and *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and its progeny, including, but not limited to *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), *Roviaro v. United States*, 353 U.S. 53 (1957), and *Kyles v. Whitley*, 473 U.S. 667 (1985).
3. The files of law enforcement agencies with information pertaining to matters involving potential witnesses who are not involved in the

prosecution of this defendant or this offense would not be subject to tender pursuant to Jenck's and **Brady** and would be subject to subpoenas issued at the behest of the defense pursuant to the defendant's constitutional right to compulsory service of process.

3. Without designation of the various agencies the defense and the court cannot identify which agencies are subject to subpoena and which are subject to **Brady** disclosure. The defense or the court cannot identify which agencies the United States is constitutionally obligated to search in identification of **Brady** materials, pursuant to **Kyles v. Whitley** (the prosecution has a duty to identify and tender information contained within the files of law enforcement which might negate the guilt of the accused, mitigate the punishment or impeach any government witness.)

WHEREFORE, premises considered, defendant moves for an order directing the United States to identify in the recAord all law enforcement agencies subject to **Brady** and Jenck's disclosure and those subject to subpoena.

Respectfully submitted,

MANUEL ERNESTO PAIZ GUEVARA

By: _____(s)_____

David P. Baugh, Esq.
Va. Bar No. 22528
2025 East Main Street, Suite 108
Richmond, Virginia 23224
804.743-8111
Email: dpbaugh@dpbaugh.com

W. Michael Chick, Jr. Esq.
Law Offices of W. Michael Chick, Jr.
10513 Judicial Drive, Suite 102
Fairfax, Virginia 22030
571.276.8279
Email: mike@chichlawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the
above and foregoing Motion for Designation of Law Enforcement
Agencies was duly served, November 20, 2014, upon:

Stephen M. Campbell, Esq.
Julia K Martinez, Esq.
Assistant United States Attorneys
Eastern District of Virginia
2100 Jamison Avenue
Alexandria, Virginia 22314,

And all other defendants in this matter by the Court's electronic filing
system.

/s/ David P. Baugh
David P. Baugh